

WRIT OF QUO WARRANTO BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT (WRIT PETITION)

	IN THE HIG	H COURT OF	JUDIO RISDIO					
	WRIT	PETITION NO			F			
			In the	m	atter of	Article	226 of	the
			Consti	tuti	on of Ind	ia		
					AN	ID		
			In the	ma	tter of Art	cicles 1	2, 14 and	1
			21 of t	he (Constitut	ion of I	ndia	
					AN	ID		
			In th	e 1	natter o	f app	ointment	of
			\		by	to	the post	t of
			1		<u>;</u>			
		VAI			AN	ID		
			In th	ne	matter	of v	violation	of
					in	the	process	of
			appoir	ntm	ent of			
)				
aged abou	ut years, I	ndian Inhabi	tant,)				
having	residential	address	at)		Pe	etitioner	
)				



			THE	HUMBLE /ENAMED:	PETITION O PETITIONE		
ANI		CHIEF JUSTIC VISNE JUDGES COURT		THE	LIIIMDI P	DETITION	OF
	residential	address	at)	Respon	ndents	
3.	Mr	havin	g his	1			
	at		· ,		A i		
		having	addres	ss)			
2.	Railway	Recruitment	Boar	d,)			
)			
	address		•	at)			
	Railway, Go	vernment of In	dia havir	ng)			
1.	The Railwa	Versus ay Board, M	inistry	of)			

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

1. The Petitioner is a law-abiding citizen of India, having his address as mentioned in the cause title hereinabove.



2. Respondent No. 1 is the Railway Board established under the Ministry of Railways, Government of India which is responsible for the administrative and operational activities of the Indian Railways. Respondent No. 1 is a public authority having address as mentioned in the cause title hereinabove. Respondent No. 2 is the Recruitment Board of Indian Railways and is owned, controlled and managed by Respondent No. 1 herein. Respondent No. 2 is a government body incorporated by Respondent No. 1 and is engaged in recruitment of candidates into the service of Indian Railways and is responsible for filling up vacancies thereof in accordance with the recruitment policy framed in this behalf and to ensure a fair appointment of all candidates for recruitment into Indian Railways. The activities carried on by Respondent No. 2 are of public importance and are carried on for the welfare of the public. Accordingly, Respondent No. 2 is an instrumentality of the State and is therefore 'State' within the meaning of Article 12 of the Constitution of India, amenable to the writ jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Court. Respondent No. 3 is appointed as the Station Master and Traffic 3. Assistant by Respondent No. 2 pursuant to the Appointment Letter dated ("the Impugned Appointment Letter"). Hereto annexed and marked as Exhibit "A" is a copy of Impugned Appointment Letter dated issued by Respondent No. 2 in favour of Respondent No. 3. 4. By the present Petition, the Petitioner is constrained to challenge the Impugned Appointment Letter dated ______ issued by Respondent No. 2 in favour of Respondent No. 3, inter alia, appointing Respondent No. 3 as



PAGES 4 TO 12 NOT AVAILABLE FOR PREVIEW

